Scintillation of Ar-CF₄ mixtures

LIDINE 2023 21/09/2023

P.Amedo¹, F. Brunbauer², D. González-Díaz¹,S. Leardini¹, A. Saá-Hernández¹,D. J. Fernández-Posada¹, E. Oliveri², L. Ropelewski²

¹Galician Institute for High Energy Physics (IGFAE), University of Santiago de Compostela

²CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

Introduction

- DUNE is an international collaboration aiming at measuring different properties of neutrinos, like oscillation parameters, mass hierarchy or the existence of a CP violation phase
- For this, a far detector and a near detector are need to measure the neutrino fluxes and correct for systematics



Introduction

- Our main objective was to develop a technological asset –a gas mixturethat could improve the reconstruction capabilities of low energy events and open the possibility of full optical readout of the ND-GAr
- This would give us access to the T_0 information of the interactions and facilitate reconstruction at O(2 mm) sampling



The role of gas mixtures in TPCs

- There are several reasons why we want a mixture and not just a pure noble gas
 - It reduces longitudinal and transversal diffusion
 - It allows to fine tune the drift velocity
 - It quenches VUV-photons and prevents destabilization of the avalanche-process due to photoelectric effect
- However, finding a scintillating mixture that provides all those benefits while keeping the target Argon-pure is not obvious. Possible candidate: CF₄



Why CF_4 ?

- Some good general properties
 - Transverse diffusion (at 1% mixing) of less than 1.6 mm/m^{1/2}, better than Alice (2.2), T2K (2.7) or P10 gas (1.8)
 - It scintillates in the UV and visible bands so latter can be detected using commercial photosensors
 - Previous works with a triple GEM setup have shown charge gains up to 10⁶, with which optical gains of 10⁵ are possible, enabling both optical and charge based readouts





Experimental setup for spectroscopic measurements

- A small chamber was built with a thin aluminum entrance window, an aluminum foil as cathode and an anode were we collected the current
- We collected the light with a CCD spectrometer after the gas was irradiated with an x-ray tube
- The system had an RGA for purity control and the main impurities found were water, nitrogen and oxygen





Experimental setup for spectroscopic measurements

- Measurements were taken at no field and at a field high enough to ensure current saturation. Different tube intensities, pressures and mixtures were explored
- The results presented are proportional to the number of photons detected divided by the saturation current and the W₁ value for each mixture
- We see no signs of space charge or recombination effects, as expected based on ionization density considerations



Results for pure gases

- The main bands come from transitions of CF₄^{+*}, CF₃^{*}, argon's third continuum and its atomic decays
- Peaks from impurities in the chamber come from OH and N₂ and are prominent at 1 bar



Time-resolved spectroscopy of high pressure rare gases excited by an energetic flash X-ray source, C. Cachoncille, 1995 Effect of the electric field on the primary scintillation from CF4 A. Morozov et al

Infrared emission

- In general, the impurity peaks tend to decrease with pressure and CF₄ concentration
- The yield of the different argon infrared peaks decreases with pressure
- This behavior is consistent with self-quenching, be it either 2-body or 3-body



Spectra for Argon-CF₄



Band analysis

- The visible band seems to indicate the presence of an optimum
- The interplay of argon's third continuum and the UV scintillation of CF₄ causes the appearance of a minimum



Model and data comparison

• A kinetic model was developed where we compute the scintillation probabilities of different states of interest, namely CF₄^{+*}(C), CF₃^{*}(1E´,2A2´) and an effective state representing the precursors of argon's third continuum



Model and data comparison

- A global fit to the data for each band was performed using a kinetic model with 4 free parameters -2 for the UV bands and 2 for the VIS bands-, resulting in a reduced chi-square of 1.5
- Good agreement was found for both UV and VIS bands



Experimental setup for yield measurements

- Yield measurements were done in our lab with an ²⁴¹Am source in the range of 400-700 nm and 250-400 nm at 10 bar
- Wire chambers design copied from ALICE and 4 PMTs with different filters, one of them coated with TPB







- We commissioned it in pure Xenon, with a purity compatible with less than 100 ppms of N₂, 1ppm O₂ and 1ppm H₂O
- Ws = 40 ± 10 eV and triplet constant of 98 ns

Time and band-resolved scintillation in time projection chambers based on gaseous xenon - S. Leardini et al

Time dependence of primary scintillation in Ar-CF₄ at 10 bar

classical doping



15

Energy to produce a photon (W_{sc}) and time constant in Ar-CF₄ at 10 bar



Technology demonstrator

- An optical TPC has been assembled at the Galician Institute for High Energy Physics with an ²⁴¹Am source inside
- It has been instrumented with 4 PMTs to read the S1 and a CCD camera to do track readout. So far, only one acrylic THGEM is being used.





First light!

- Operated with 1% Ar-CF₄ at 1 bar
- Both S1 and S2 signals can be seen at the PMTs
- First tracks coming from the CCD camera





Summary, conclusions and future work

- There is convincing evidence of transfer reactions in Ar- CF₄ leading to a wavelength-shifting effect at CF₄ concentrations as low as 0.1%,
- At 1% there is strong scintillation both in the UV and VIS bands in the Ar- CF₄ mixture
- We have found a gas mixture, Ar- CF₄ at 1%, which allows a full optical readout to be implemented in ND-GAr while keeping the target nearly Argon-pure
- We have successfully built and instrumented an optical TPC using this gas mixture where we have seen alpha tracks with both S1 and S2 signals
- Our plans include an upgrade to a double THGEM and Timepix camera to do measurements at high pressure

Fin

Thanks for your attention!

Appendix

Electric field uniformity

- A numerical simulation was run in COMSOL to check the field uniformity inside the chamber
- We were limited to a 1cm diameter window from the x-rays tube



Space charge

- Particularly hard to demonstrate it is there and that it's affecting our measurements
- Following a reference paper we try to estimate this with an dimensionless parameter



$$\alpha = \frac{D}{E_0} \sqrt{\frac{K}{\epsilon \mu}}$$

- D = Detector thickness
- $E_0 = Applied field$
- K = Number of ions
- Epsilon = Dielectric constant
- Mu = Ion mobility

Space Charge in Ionization Detectors, Sandro Palestini and Kirk T. McDonald, 2007 (updated 2016)

Recombination

- No sign of recombination in light emission
- Spectral shape is preserved between zero field and the collection field
- Ionization density (tube intensity) plays no role
- Based on previous experiments with alpha particles, this is expected given the ionization density



Simulation data

| | | 5MeV hadrons | | 20MeV hadrons | | internal muons ($\simeq 20-50$ MeV) | | external muons ($\simeq 2050~\mathrm{MeV})$ | |
|-------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------|
| | E_{thres} [MeV] | σ_t [ns] | σ_E/E | σ_t [ns] | σ_E/E | σ_t [ns] | σ_E/E | σ_t [ns] | σ_E/E |
| G_1 | 3.5 - 34 | 1 - 8.7 | 0.2 - 0.73 | 0.44 - 1.9 | 0.08 - 0.36 | 0.55 - 1.5 | 0.09 - 0.23 | 0.73 - 1.55 | 0.11 - 0.24 |
| G_2 | 2.6 - 5.8 | 1 - 2.5 | 0.21 - 0.38 | 0.44 - 0.86 | 0.08 - 0.14 | 0.45 - 0.73 | 0.07 - 0.11 | 0.43 - 0.71 | 0.07 - 0.11 |
| G_3 | 2 - 2.4 | 1 - 2.1 | 0.22 - 0.24 | 0.41 - 0.66 | 0.08 - 0.09 | 0.45 - 0.62 | 0.07 - 0.09 | 0.42 - 0.7 | 0.05 - 0.1 |