Fluorescence properties of Clevios coatings for noble liquid TPC experiments

Emma Ellingwood for the CAFE* group

Queen's University

September 22, 2023

LIDINE2023

*Cryogenic Apparatus for Fluorescence Experiments: P.C.F. Di Stefano, E. Ellingwood, N. Swidinsky, J. Hucker, P. Skensved



Motivation

 Clevios[™] is a conductive organic polymer that can be used on experiments like DarkSide-20k for electrodes and field shaping rings. It is transparent in thin coatings.





 Nuisance fluorescence from detector components like Clevios could contribute to the light seen in detectors.

Main questions for this study:

- 1. Is there any UV-stimulated fluorescence from Clevios?
- 2. If there is fluorescence, what is the emission wavelength range?
- 3. How does Clevios' fluorescent light yield compare to TPB?

Literature review

- (T. Koyama et al., 2015) [2] suggests that with a UV excitation of 260nm (4.77 eV), some UV fluorescence may be visible around 350nm (3.6 eV) to 400nm (3.1 eV).
- Spectra from (A.C. Bhowal et al., 2019) [3] study of PEDOT:PSS in the presence of gold and silver nanoparticles showed an increase in the absorbance of the material around 278nm.





Samples

Acrylic Substrate

 Properties: 5mm thick UV absorbing commercial acrylic (Trotec)

Fused Silica Substrate

 Properties: 2mm thick Corning 7980 fused silica window



	Acrylic Substrate	Fused Silica Substrate
Blank (No Coating)	\checkmark	\checkmark
~10 nm Clevios Coating	\checkmark	\checkmark
~100 nm Clevios Coating	\checkmark	\checkmark
3 μm TPB Coating	\checkmark	

Absorbance spectroscopy

Setup

- Agilent Cary 60 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer
- Wavelength range: 190-900 nm
- Xenon flash lamp light concentrated on sample.
- Measurements compared to a blank substrate reference sample.

Purpose

- To determine if the Clevios is absorbing certain wavelengths of light.
- Study absorbance of the combination of substrate and Clevios.



Acrylic substrate spectroscopy results

- At UV wavelengths the UV-absorbing acrylic prevents an accurate measurement of the absorbance, cannot see any UV absorbance from Clevios.
- This is an issue because we expect any emission from Clevios to be UV.





Outcome:

- Thicker Clevios coating produces a high absorbance/lower transmittance.
- Light exciting the Clevios causing it to UV fluoresce will likely be absorbed by UV absorbing acrylic.

Fused silica spectroscopy results

 To study the coating in the UV we got sample of Clevios coated fused silica.





Outcome:

- Fused silica has greater UV transparency compared to acrylic.
- Two peaks in the absorbance (230nm & 280nm) are consistent with expected absorption wavelength of Clevios from literature.

Cryostat setup - spectrometer



Cryostat spectrometer results

- Using Horiba spectrometer to measure spectral changes of materials in our cryostat in response to temperature changes.
- In the visible range, there does not appear to be any obvious spectral features unique to the 10nm Clevios coated sample spectra compared to the blank acrylic spectra.



Acrylic reflection mode

- Purpose: Take spectra in the UV while minimizing interference of the UV absorbing acrylic.
- Sample positioned at θ =65°.





Fused silica reflection mode

- Attempts to make a transmission measurement (θ=90°) required to low a LED voltage and too low an exposure to see fluorescence from Clevios.
- The setup is identical to the acrylic substrate sample test.



Cryostat setup – time-resolved



Detected light-yield vs. temperature

- More fluorescence in all samples as temperature decreases/
- From these measurements it appears thin Clevios is more fluorescent than acrylic or thicker Clevios coating. Under investigation but possibly due to high absorption with thicker sample.



Relative light-yield vs. temperature



- The relative light yield of 10nm coating on acrylic is 0.19% that of TPB at 87K.
- Clevios may be slightly more fluorescent than acrylic.

Summary

Reflection mode spectral measurements of Clevios suggests that there may be <u>faint fluorescent emission</u> <u>from Clevios</u> which extends to the visible region. Consistent with literature.

We observe a 0.19% relative light yield of 10nm Clevios compared to 3µm of TPB on acrylic suggesting minimal impact of Clevios to the light output of experiments which utilize this material. Absorbance measurements suggest that the <u>thicker coating transmits</u> <u>less light at the 267nm</u> <u>excitation wavelength</u> than the thinner coating, might explain the lower visible light yield.

References

- [1] E. Ellingwood et al., Ultraviolet-induced fluorescence of poly(methyl methacrylate) compared to 1,1,4,4-tetraphenyl-1,3-butadiene down to 4 K, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A, Volume 1039, 167119.(2022)
- [2] T. Koyama et al. Photoluminescence of poly(3,4ethylenedioxythiophene)/poly(styrenesulfonate) in the visible region (supplementary information). J. Mater. Chem. C, 3(32):8307–8310. (2015)
- [3] A.C. Bhowal, H. Talukdar & S. Kundu. Preparation, characterization and electrical behaviors of PEDOT:PSS-Au/Ag nanocomposite thin films: an ecofriendly approach. Polym. Bull. 76, 5233–5251 (2019).
- [4] I. Chirikov-Zorin, et al., Method for precise analysis of the metal package photomultiplier single photoelectron spectra, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 456 (3) (2001) 310– 324.
- [5] R. Cheng, Non-Standard Parametric Statistical Inference, Oxford University Press (2017)

Backup Slides

Cryostat setup in lab in time-resolved mode



Review of acrylic/TPB fluorescence

- Other materials commonly used in noble liquid detectors, especially LAr, such as acrylic and TPB both fluoresce.
- The amount of fluorescence in both materials increases with decreasing temperature. (E. Ellingwood et al.,2022) [1]
- Presented at LIDINE 2022.



Calculating SPE level light yields

- 1. At each temperature record 45000 individual fluorescence pulses.
- 2. The light yield is the integral of each PMT pulse in a 50 ns window.
- **3.** Build an integral distribution and fit with a model of single photoelectron distribution [4].
- 4. Light yield is the mean of this integral distribution.
- 5. Model also fits the SPE value the integral value per photoelectron.



$SPE Spectrum \\ S_r(\varepsilon) = \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n_1}e^{-m} m_1^{n_2}e^{-m_1} (n_1 \cdot k)^{k_1} e^{(-n_1 \cdot k)}}{n_2! n_2! k_1! \sqrt{2\pi (s_0^2 + (k_1 + n_2)s_1^2)}} e^{-\frac{(\varepsilon - (x_0 + (k_1 + n_2)x_1))^2}{2(s_0^2 + (k_1 + n_2)s_1^2)}} e^{-\frac{(\varepsilon - (x_0 + (k_1 + n_2)x_1))^2}{2(s_0^2 + (k_1 + n_2)s_1^2)}} e^{-\frac{(\varepsilon - (x_0 + (k_1 + n_2)x_1))^2}{2(s_0^2 + (k_1 + n_2)s_1^2)}} e^{-\frac{(\varepsilon - (x_0 + (k_1 + n_2)x_1))^2}{2(s_0^2 + (k_1 + n_2)s_1^2)}} e^{-\frac{(\varepsilon - (x_0 + (k_1 + n_2)x_1))^2}{2(s_0^2 + (k_1 + n_2)s_1^2)}}} e^{-\frac{(\varepsilon - (x_0 + (k_1 + n_2)x_1))^2}{2(s_0^2 + (k_1 + n_2)s_1^2)}}} e^{-\frac{(\varepsilon - (x_0 + (k_1 + n_2)x_1))^2}{2(s_0^2 + (k_1 + n_2)s_1^2)}}} e^{-\frac{(\varepsilon - (x_0 + (k_1 + n_2)x_1))^2}{2(s_0^2 + (k_1 + n_2)s_1^2)}}} e^{-\frac{(\varepsilon - (x_0 + (k_1 + n_2)x_1))^2}{2(s_0^2 + (k_1 + n_2)s_1^2)}}}$

- Equation from paper by [4]
- The 'light yield' quoted is m, the average number of photoelectrons generated on the photocathode of the PMT.
- The SPE conversion is also fir as k*x₁, the average charge collected at the anode of the PMT initiated by one primary electron from the photocathode.



NPE spectrum

- Exactly the same data acquisition procedure as acrylic only changing LED voltages or digitizer vertical range due to much larger pulses. These changes are accounted for in the analysis.
- Fit integral distribution with a skew normal function. [5]

$$K(A,\xi,\omega,\alpha,s) = \frac{2A}{\omega\sqrt{2\pi}} exp\left(\frac{(\xi-s)^2}{2\omega^2}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha\left(\frac{\xi-s}{\omega}\right)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{2}\right) dt$$

- A = scaling factor
- ξ = location on distribution
- s = scale of the distribution
- α = shape of distribution

